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Using transportation distances such as the Earth Mover’s Distance (EMD) for comparing symbolic music notation seems to be a good idea:

- Good matching results
- Efficient search possible (e.g. with Proportional Transportation Distance)
- Polyphonic searches in polyphonic music pose no additional complications in comparison to monophonic matching
- Can be easily adjusted to different purposes by modifying weighting scheme and ground distance
- Opens up interesting possibilities (e.g., QBH without separate note onset detection step)

The EMD has been used for comparing audio, but we are not aware of previous work involving the comparison of notated music.
In a database containing 476,000 melodies, the top 17 matches contain 12 out of 15 known occurrences of the query, “Roslin Castle”.

Distance measure: EMD
Weights: Duration only
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Comparison with earlier work involving the RISM A/II data

Grouping occurrences of “Roslin Castle” together

- Howard (1998) encoded the RISM A/II collection in the DARMS format and tried various sorting methods. None of his methods sorted more than 46% of the known occurrences together.

- We were able to group 73% together.

Identifying Anonymous Pieces

- Schlichte (1990) was able to identify 2.08% of anonymous pieces in the RISM A/II collection by looking for identical Plaine & Easie encodings.

- We compared about 80,000 anonymous incipits to all 476,000 pieces in our database and could identify 3.9%.


**Match:** I. Umlauf: Singspiel “Das Irrlicht”, Basso: “Zu Steffen sprach im Traume” (Due corni, due fagotti, due violini, due viole e basso), manuscript in Valdemars Slot, Tåsinge, Denmark

17,895 more examples on http://give-lab.cs.uu.nl/MIR/anon/idx.html
Coordinates represent note onset time and pitch.

Weights should reflect the notes' importance. So far, we used mainly the duration, but other aspects can also be reflected in the weights.
These melodies differ only in the measure structure.

By adding a weight component for emphasized notes in every bar, the measure structure can be taken into account and a distance $> 0$ can be achieved for cases like this.

Example for Weight Components: Stress Weight

Jean-Baptiste Lully: La Grotte de Versailles

Anonymus: Litanies (Coro, without title)
The Earth Mover’s Distance

Measures the minimum amount of work needed to transform one weighted point set into the other by moving weight.

The set of all possible flows is defined by these constraints:

- no negative flow component.
- no point emits or receives more weight than it has.
- the lighter of the two point sets is completely matched.

The EMD is the weighted sum of the optimum flow components’ distances, divided by the matched weight:

\[
EMD(A, B) = \frac{\min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} d_{ij}}{\min(W, U)}
\]
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Weights are shown as black numbers, flows as green numbers.
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EMD: An example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>j</th>
<th>f_{ij}</th>
<th>d_{ij}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{EMD} = \frac{3(0.5 \cdot 1.5) + 0.5 \cdot 1.789}{8 \cdot 0.5} = 0.79 \]
Properties of the EMD

- The EMD is continuous.
- For unequal weight sums, it does not have the positivity property. I.e., partial matching is possible, and there are cases where the EMD does not distinguish between different pairs of non-identical sets.
- For unequal weight sums, the EMD does not obey the triangle inequality.

The triangle inequality is relevant for indexing with vantage points.
Properties of the EMD

- The EMD is continuous.

- For unequal weight sums, it does not have the positivity property. I.e., partial matching is possible, and there are cases where the EMD does not distinguish between different pairs of non-identical sets.

- For unequal weight sums, the EMD does not obey the triangle inequality.

The triangle inequality is relevant for indexing with vantage points.

\[ \text{EMD}(A,B) > 0 \]
Properties of the EMD

- The EMD is continuous.

- For unequal weight sums, it does not have the positivity property. I. e., partial matching is possible, and there are cases where the EMD does not distinguish between different pairs of non-identical sets.

- For unequal weight sums, the EMD does not obey the triangle inequality.

The triangle inequality is relevant for indexing with vantage points.
Properties of the EMD

- The EMD is continuous.
- For unequal weight sums, it does not have the positivity property. I.e., partial matching is possible, and there are cases where the EMD does not distinguish between different pairs of non-identical sets.
- For unequal weight sums, the EMD does not obey the triangle inequality.

The triangle inequality is relevant for indexing with vantage points.

\[
EMD(C, B) = 0
\]
Properties of the EMD

- The EMD is continuous.
- For unequal weight sums, it does not have the positivity property. I.e., partial matching is possible, and there are cases where the EMD does not distinguish between different pairs of non-identical sets.
- For unequal weight sums, the EMD does not obey the triangle inequality.

The triangle inequality is relevant for indexing with vantage points.

\[ \text{EMD}(A, B) > \text{EMD}(A, C) + \text{EMD}(C, B) \]
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The Proportional Transportation Distance (Giannopoulos & Veltkamp 2002)

- Takes a weight surplus into account.
- Triangle inequality holds.
- Still does not have the positivity property.

Calculation: for both point sets, the total weight is normalized to 1, while preserving the weight proportions. Then, the EMD is calculated.

**EMD(A,B) \leq EMD(A,C) + EMD(C,B)**
PTD Matching example

- The weight sum is 1 for both melodies.
- Because of this, augmentation or diminution is ignored.

Alexandre Stiévenart: Variations

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i = 1
\]

Anonymus: Les trois cousines - Distance: 0.93

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i = 1
\]
We want to retrieve all objects with a distance $\leq r$ from the query object $Q$. 

Feature Space
We want to retrieve all objects with a distance $\leq r$ from the query object $Q$.

$V_1, V_2$: Vantage objects.

Instead of searching the whole feature space:

- For each object, pre-calculate the distances to vantage objects.
- Use these distances as coordinates in a Euclidean space.
- Restrict the search to those objects with a Euclidean distance $\leq r$ in the Euclidean space of vantage distances.
We want to retrieve all objects with a distance $\leq r$ from the query object $Q$.

$V_1, V_2$: Vantage objects.

Objects with the same coordinates as $Q$ in the Euclidean space lie on the intersections of the black circles around $V_1$ and $V_2$. With two vantage objects, there can be an object $F \neq Q$ with the same coordinates as $Q$. 
We want to retrieve all objects with a distance $\leq r$ from the query object $Q$.

$V_1, V_2$: Vantage objects.

Objects with a distance $\leq r$ from $Q$ in the Euclidean space lie within the intersection of the blue bands around $V_1$ and $V_2$.

Search steps:

- Find all objects with an Euclidean distance $\leq r$ from $Q$ in the Euclidean vantage space.

- Only for those, calculate the distances to $Q$ in the feature space and discard those with a distance $> r$. 
Future Goals

• **Query-by-Humming without Note Onset Detection:**
  
  - Represent every note in the database with a point set instead of a single point.
  
  - Match the sequence of fundamental frequencies from FFT windows with the scores in the database.

  This would avoid the notoriously difficult and error-prone note onset detection.

• **Partial Matching/Tempo Tracking:** Split the queries and the scores in the database into overlapping, polyphonic chunks with a duration of just a few notes and then search for sequences of similar chunks.

  - Tempo variations are possible without requiring explicit tempo tracking. Neither tempo nor measure structure need to be known.
  
  - The indexing method with vantage objects can be used to make the search for matching chunks efficient.
The Earth Mover’s Distance

Measures the minimum amount of work needed to transform one weighted point set into the other by moving weight.

\[ A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}, \quad B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n\} \text{: weighted point sets} \]

\[ w_i, u_j \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \text{: their weights.} \]

\[ W = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i, \quad U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i. \]

\[ f_{ij} \text{: the flow of weight from } a_i \text{ to } b_j \text{ over the ground distance } d_{ij}. \]

The set of all possible flows \( \mathcal{F} = [f_{ij}] \) is defined by these constraints:

1. \[ f_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n \] (no negative flow component.)

2. \[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} \leq w_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \]

3. \[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{ij} \leq u_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n \] (no point emits or receives more weight than it has.)

4. \[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} = \min(W, U) \] (the lighter of the two point sets is completely matched.)

\[ EMD(A, B) = \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} d_{ij}}{\min(W, U)} \]